REFERENCE 169

L. W. GILLEY, D. F. CRONIN, J. K. FOX, AND J. T. THOMAS, "CRITICAL ARRAYS OF NEUTRON-INTERACTING UNITS," IN "NEUTRON PHYSICS DIVISION ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDING SEPTEMBER 1, 1961," OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY REPORT ORNL-3193 (OCTOBER 1961), PP. 159-167.

ORNL-3193 UC-34 - Physics TID-4500 (16th ed. Rev.)





OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

operated by UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION for the U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Printed in USA. Price \$4.50 . Available from the

Office of Technical Services Department of Commerce Washington 25, D.C.

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

ORNL-3193 UC-34 - Physics TID-4500 (16th ed., Rev.)

•

Contract No. W-7405-eng-26

NEUTRON PHYSICS DIVISION ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT

for Period Ending September 1, 1961

E. P. Blizard, Director

A. D. Callihan, Associate Director F. C. Maienschein, Associate Director

A. Simon,* Associate Director

DATE ISSUED

NOV 17 1961

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY Oak Ridge, Tennessee operated by UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION for the U. S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

3.5. CRITICAL ARRAYS OF NEUTRON-INTERACTING UNITS¹

L. W. Gilley, D. F. Cronin,* J. K. Fox, and J. T. Thomas

A series of experiments using as many as 100 subcritical vessels of U²³⁵-enriched uranyl nitrate aqueous solution has been performed. In one group of experiments neither reflector about the arrays nor moderator between the units was present. (The terms "moderator" and "reflector" when used in this text refer to the addition of hydrogenous material between the units or about the array.) In another set the thickness of the moderator and partial reflector was varied to exhibit its maximum effect. Additional experiments simulated various conditions of interest to nuclear safety. A brief description of the materials used is presented, and the results appear in both tabular and graphical form.

Units and Arrays

Each unit consisted of a quantity of 92.6 wt% U^{235} -enriched $UO_2(NO_3)_2$, having an average concentration of 410 g of uranium per liter and a specific gravity of 1.55, contained in one of three different types of vessels. The Type A container was a $5\frac{3}{8}$ -in.-OD seamless polyethylene bottle, approximately 48 in. long, which had a $1\frac{1}{2}$ -in.-dia capped opening and a nominal capacity of ~13 liters. This container had a wall thickness which varied from 0.45 in. at the bottom to 0.20 in. at the top, resulting in a volume-averaged inside diameter of ~4.67 in. The Type B container was a $5\frac{5}{8}$ -in.-OD polyethylene bottle, approximately 48 in. long, which had a wide capped opening, a welded bottom, and a nominal capacity of ~15 liters. The walls had a uniform thickness of 0.25 in. The Type C container was an aluminum cylinder. Seven of the Type C containers had a 6-in. inside diameter, a 72-in. height, and a 0.06-in. wall thickness of 0.05 in. The 6-in.-ID cylinders could be remotely filled with solution and were those used in previous interaction experiments. ²

Units in each array were arranged with the vessel axes vertical and their bases in a linear, in a square, or in a triangular pattern. Most of the experiments with unreflected and unmoderated arrays were performed with a single tier of units, that is, with all bases in a common horizontal plane, although in one series two such tiers were arranged, one above the other, with the solution separated vertically. The outer boundary of an array was either square or hexagonal, depending upon whether a square or triangular pattern was used. Figure 3.5.1 is a photograph of a double-tier array containing 98 Type A units. The method of securing the units in the aluminum framework is clearly visible. Each unit in this array contained ~5 kg of U²³⁵ except for five control units located in the center of the lower tier. Criticality was achieved by remotely filling the five control units.

¹A portion of this work has previously been published in *Trans. Am. Nuclear Soc.* 4, No. 1, 54 (1961).

^{*}Present address: National Lead Company of Ohio, Cincinnati.

²J. K. Fox, L. W. Gilley, and A. D. Callihan, Critical Mass Studies, Part IX, Aqueous U²³⁵ Solutions, ORNL-2367 (Feb. 1958).

РНОТО 52448



Fig. 3.5.1. Double-Tier Array of Interacting Type A Units.

Experimental Results with Type A Containers

The experiments conducted with Type A units in contact, with no moderator between units and reflector present only in the linear arrays, are summarized in Table 3.5.1. It may be seen that slightly more than 18 units in a linear arrangement against a hydrogenous reflector are required to form a critical array.

Number of Units in Array	Geometry of Array	Solution Height (in.)	Comment
3	&	44.25	Not critical
4	88	44.25	Not critical
4	$\overset{\infty}{\sim}$	34.56	Critical
5	8	, 15.70	Critical
5	ංකිය	44.25	Not critical
19	Linear	44.25	Critical with array against a 6-inthick Plexiglas wall; solution height in 5 control units in center of array, 41.70 in.
18	Linear	44.25	Not critical with array against 6-inthick Plexiglas wall

Table 3.5.1. Critical Conditions for Type A Units in Contact

In the unreflected and unmoderated arrays in which containers were separated, three different solution heights were used: $22\frac{1}{2}$, $33\frac{3}{8}$, and $44\frac{1}{4}$ in., corresponding to 5.90, 9.30, and 12.76 liters per unit, respectively. Thus, the variables studied were the volume content of the container, the spacing, and the geometric pattern within an array. The number of units in a critical array as a function of their surface-to-surface separation is shown in Fig. 3.5.2 for each of three different solution volumes. The data are also reported in Table 3.5.2. The results from the experiments with the double tier are plotted with ordinate equal to the number of units in one of the tiers, i.e., one half the total number at a particular spacing. In this manner a double tier is shown to have characteristics resembling a single tier but with extended solution height. It is clear that for finite arrays the triangular pattern is more reactive than the square-pattern arrays; i.e., they require a greater surface-to-surface separation.

Experiments were conducted with 16 Type A units that were reflected and moderated with Plexiglas (methyl methacrylate). For these experiments, the vessels were arranged in a 4 × 4 unit array with each unit containing 12.76 liters of solution. In most of the experiments the Plexiglas formed a matrix of square cells in each of which a unit was centrally located; however, in one experiment the Plexiglas was

in the form of $\frac{1}{2}$ -in.-thick cylindrical shells which were placed tightly around each unit in the array. The data are shown in Table 3.5.3. Included in the table, for comparison, are the number of units required to achieve criticality at the same surface-to-surface separation in the absence of Plexiglas, obtained from Fig. 3.5.2. It may be seen that a 1-in. thickness of Plexiglas centered between the units of an array effects the greatest change in the critical number. The first two entries of the table describe arrays with equal quantities of Plexiglas associated with each unit. The Plexiglas is seen to be more effective when



Fig. 3.5.2. Number of Type A Units in a Critical Array as a Function of Surface-to-Surface Spacing.

arranged in a matrix than when the same amount tightly surrounds the units. Later experiments, described by the last two entries in the same table, show that the presence of the $\frac{1}{4}$ -in.-thick reflector is not entirely responsible for this increased reactivity.

An additional series of experiments with Type A containers was conducted in a 9.0-ft-dia by 10-fthigh stainless steel-lined tank into which water could be introduced as a moderator and a reflector. Each unit contained 12.76 liters and a constant surface-to-surface separation of 5.64 in., corresponding to the spacing required for a critical unreflected and unmoderated 6 × 6 array, was maintained. Table 3.5.4

Number of Units in Array	Geometry of Units		Surface-to-Surface Separation (in.)				
5.90 liters per Unit; Single Tier							
9	3 × 3	Square pattern	1. 18				
16	4 × 4	Square pattern	2.16				
25	5 × 5	Square pattern	3.00				
36	6 × 6	Square pattern	3.58				
64	8 × 8	Square pattern	4.43				
100	10 × 10	Square pattern	5.04				
	9.30 liters pe	r Unit; Single Tier					
9	3 × 3	Square pattern	1.59				
16	4 × 4	Square pattern	2.89				
25	5 × 5	Square pattern	3.92				
	12.76 liters p	er Unit; Single Tier	• •				
9	3 × 3	Square pattern	1.75				
16	4 × 4	Square pattern	3.32				
25	5 × 5	Square pattern	4.55				
36	6 × 6	Square pattern	5.64				
81	9 × 9	Square pattern	7.79				
7	Triangular	pattern	1.53				
19	Triangular	pattern	4.56				
12.76 liters per Unit; Double Tier*							
32	4 × 4	Square pattern	3.72				
50	5 × 5	Square pattern	5.35				
98	7 × 7	Square pattern	8.33				

Table 3.5.2. Critical Spacings for Type A Containers

*Vertical spacing between solution in two tiers was 5.6 in.

Configuration and Description of Plexiglas	Plexiglas Reflector	Surface-to-Surface Separation (in.)	Number of Units in Unreflected and Unmoderated Array, N'	Ratio: <u>N</u> 16
0.50 in. surrounding each unit	None	3.72	19.2	1.20
0.50 in. centered in open space	0.25 in.	4.94	28.5	1.78
1.00 in. centered in open space	0.50 in.	5.38	33	2.06
1.50 in. centered in open space	0.75 in.	5.26	31.8	1.99
1.50 in. centered in open space	None	(4.82)*	27.3	1.71

Table 3.5.3. Comparison of Plexiglas-Moderated and Unmoderated Arrays of Type A Containers

Sixteen	12.76-liter	units	in	4	×	4	array
---------	-------------	-------	----	---	---	---	-------

*Interpolated value.

Table 3.5.4. Effect of Water as a Moderator and Reflector on a Critical Array of Type A Units

Surface-to-Surface Separation 5.64 in.

Number of Units in Array	Geometry of Array	Solution Height in 5 Control Units (in.)	Comments
36	6 × 6	44.25	Outside tank; no water reflector or moderator
36	6 × 6	29.03	Inside tank; no water reflector or moderator
30	5 × 6	34.67	Inside tank; bottom re- flector only and no moderator
36	6 × 6	44.25	Inside tank; subcritical fully submerged
32.6	5 × 6 + 2.6	39.70	Inside tank; no water reflector or moderator
32	5 × 6 + 2	39.16	Inside tank; water sprayed at 66.8 liters/min

.

summarized the results. The first three entries indicate that a full array of 36 filled containers in the tank would be supercritical. Although these units are subcritical when submerged at this spacing, interaction between subcritical units has been observed² up to distances slightly less than 12 in. The last two entries evaluate the effect of water being sprayed by a nozzle centered about 3 ft above the array. The reactivity value of water being introduced at this rate is less than that of an additional unit.

Experimental Results with Type B Containers

Experiments were performed with the solution in the Type B plastic cylindrical containers at a constant height of $22\frac{1}{4}$ in., corresponding to 7.41 liters per unit. The units in all arrays were arranged in a square pattern and were unreflected and unmoderated. The number of units in a critical array as a function of surface-to-surface separation is presented in Table 3.5.5 and Fig. 3.5.3. The results of the experiments with Type A units at a solution height of $22\frac{1}{4}$ in. are reproduced in Fig. 3.5.3 for comparison. The data show that the increased solution content of the Type B units requires an increase in spacing over that for the Type A units for the same uniform solution height. The result of altering the over-all geometry of the array from a square to a rectangle is seen to require a decrease in the surface-to-surface separation, as illustrated by the two cases of 3×8 and 3×9 arrays. The effect of introducing a plastic liner into the Type B units, thus reducing the inside diameter of the units to ~4.7 in., which approximates the inside diameter of the Type A unit, is to require a decrease in surface-to-surface separation. Although the diameters and heights of the contained solutions were approximately the same, the two arrays are observed not to be equivalent because of the increased amount of plastic among the Type B units and the slightly larger solution volume of the modified Type B units, 6.33 liters per unit compared to 5.90 liters per unit for Type A units.

Number of Units in Array	Geometry of Array	Surface-to-Surface Separation (in.)
9	3 × 3	1.43
16	4 × 4	2.60
36	6 × 6	4.25
64	8 × 8	5.32
27	3 × 9	2.82
24	3 × 8	2.80
16*	4 × 4	2.34

Table 3.5.5. Critical Spacings for Type B Units 7.41 liters per unit

*Plastic liner 0.20 in. thick inside bottle, resulting in a contained volume of 6.33 liters per unit.

ORNL-LR-DWG 57390R

- 5.90 liters/unit; POLYETHYLENE BOTTLES 5³/₈-in.0D,~13-liter CAPACITY
- A. 7.41 liters/unit; POLYETHYLENE BOTTLES 5^{5} /g-in. 0D, ~15-liter CAPACITY
- ♥ 6.33 liters/unit; POLYETHYLENE BOTTLES 5⁵/₈-in. OD, ~15-liter CAPACITY, WITH PLASTIC LINER 0.20 ± 0.02 in. THICK

SOLUTION: $\rm UO_2(NO_3)_2$ AT 440 9 of U per liter AND SPECIFIC GRAVITY 1.55, ENRICHED TO 92.6 wt % $\rm U^{235}$



Fig. 3.5.3. Number of Type B Units in a Critical Array as a Function of Surface-to-Surface Spacing.

Experimental Results with Type C Containers

The experimental results obtained with aluminum cylinders are presented in Table 3.5.6. All arrays were unreflected, unmoderated, and single tier only. Both triangular- and square-pattern arrays were assembled. The 6-in.-ID cylinders are more reactive than the 6-in.-OD cylinders, as expected. This was confirmed by experiments which compared two 3 × 3 arrays, containing in one case seven and in the other only three 6-in.-ID cylinders.

Number of Units in Array	Geometry of Array	Uniform Solution Height in Array (in.)	Surface-to-Surface Separation (in.)
4	••	26.33	0.15
4	••••	42.8	0.38
7	••	9.76	0.15
	••	15.40	1.00
		27.47	2.00
		39.16	2.50
19	000	20.00	3.51
		30.00	4.95
	000	40.00	5.94
		50.00	6.64
9	••0	21.67	1.70
	0 • •	30.65	2.30
		40.01	2.70
		49.60	3.00
9		20.26	1.50
16	0000	20.00	2.58
		30.00	3.77
		40.08	4.50
		50.40	5.00

.

Table 3.5.6. Critical Spacings for Type C Units

• = Remotely Filled Units, 6-in.-ID • = Fixed-Volume Units, 6-in.-OD