REFERENCE 75

J. C. HOOGTERP, "UNREFLECTED PLEXIGLAS-GRAPHITE-URANIUM CRITICAL MEASUREMENTS," TRANS. AM. NUCL. SOC. 11: 389-390 (1968).

1968 ANNUAL MEETING TORONTO, CANADA JUNE 10-13, 1968 **AMERICAN NUCLEAR SOCIETY** and **CANADIAN NUCLEAR ASSOCIATION**



TRANSACTIONS

TRANSACTIONS

OF THE AMERICAN NUCLEAR SOCIETY FOURTEENTH ANNUAL MEETING JUNE 10-13, 1968 ROYAL YORK HOTEL TORONTO, CANADA

Technical Program Chairman D. G. Hurst (AECL)

> Editor Ruth Farmakes (ANS)

Reviewers

W. Howard Arnold (W-Astro), Aerospace Division Albert L. Babb (U of Wash) J. R. Beyster (GGA), Shielding Division Leslie Burris, Jr. (ANL) H. C. Claiborne (ORNL) E. Duane Clayton (BNW), Technical Group, Nuclear Criticality Safety John E. Cunningham (ORNL), Materials Science and Technology Division J. A. DeMastry (BMI) F. Feiner (Cornell), Reactor Physics Division M. J. Feldman (ANL-Idaho) Frank Foote (ANL) D. D. Glower (Ohio St U) Jules Godel (BNL), Remote Systems Technology Division Paul Greebler (GE-San Jose) D. H. Gurinsky (BNL) D. F. Hang (U of Ill), Education Division A. F. Henry (W-BAPL), Board Representative David L. Hetrick (U of Ariz) Henry C. Honeck (duPont-SRL), Mathematics and Computation Division R. W. Houston (Columbia), Reactor Operations Division D. G. Hurst (AECL), Technical Program Chairman R. E. Jervis (U of Toronto), Isotopes and Radiation Division J. H. Kittel (ANL) S. S. Krishnan (Forensic Sciences) Jay Kunze (GE-Idaho) J. E. LeSurf (AECL) Milton Lewis (Douglas United Nucl) Robert L. Long (UKAEA) Edward A. Mason (MIT) A. R. Matheson (GGA) Harry Pearlman (AI) A. K. Perkons (Crime Lab-Toronto) Andrew Robeson (VPI) Sol Sandhaus (NUS) Frank Schroeder, Jr. (USAEC), Chairman, Program Committee A. Einar Swanson (Black & Veatch), Power Division J. Ernest Wilkins (GGA), Board Representative

8. Unreflected Plexiglas-Graphite-Uranium Critical Measurements*, J. Carlton Hoogterp, (LASL)

This series of measurements was stimulated by criticality questions associated with the processing and handling of graphite-uranium fuel for Rover reactors. It is directed specifically toward mixtures of this fuel with water or other hydrogenous material, in the hope of reducing large uncertainties attached to computed critical masses that had been used for safety guidance.

To simulate near-homogeneous mixtures of Rover fuel and water, unreflected critical parallelepipeds of U(93.16) moderated with Plexiglas or Plexiglas-graphite combinations were set up within the aluminum matrix of a split-table assembly machine. Initially, U(93.16) foils (0.002- to 0.012-in. thick) were interleaved with 1/16-in.thick Plexiglas plates to yield H:²³⁵U ratios of ~6, 12, and 36. Graphite plates (0.180- or 0.280-in. thick) were added later to obtain overall C:²³⁵U ratios in the neighborhood of 24, 48, and 98. In some cases, effects of density changes were investigated, and in others, various shapes were intercompared.

Measured reactivity contributions of the various materials provided the basis for minor corrections of composition, and for eliminating effects of control-rod perturbations. Observed critical volumes were corrected to spherical shape for comparison with one-dimensional transport calculations using Hansen-Roach cross sections.¹ The shape conversions made use of Stratton's empirical expression for extrapolation distance²

 $\delta_1(cm) = 1.17 + 0.22 \log 1^3$,

where 1 is a dimension, such as length or diameter, in centimeters.

Table I lists the observed critical parameters and the critical volumes of equivalent spheres. These spherical volumes, after correction to "standard" composition, are compared with computed values in Table II. The

*Sponsor: Hugh C. Paxton

TABLE I

Critical Parameters of Plexiglas-Graphite-U(93) Assemblies

	ρ(²³⁵ U)	Atomic ^a Ratio		Dimension (in.)			Critical Volume (liters)		
	(g/cm ³)	H: ²³⁵ U	C: ²³⁵ U	l >	H >	< W	Observed	Equivalent Sphere	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	2.303 2.096 1.317 0.480 0.917 0.521 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.258 0.336 0.223	6.0 6.0 12.1 35.1 6.0 6.0 12.3 12.4 12.4 12.4 35.2 35.5	3.76 3.74 7.6 21.9 24.3 48.5 98.7 98.2 98.2 98.2 48.2 99.4	23.5 23.5 15 23.5 23.5 23.5 23.5 32 32 15 15	12 12 12 18 24 28.5 24.75 13.6 15 21	9.26 10.10 11.38 12 18 27.53 28.70 24.65 1R ^b 16.69 21	42.8 50.8 33.6 35.4 124.8 254.4 315.0 319.8 305.1 61.5 108.4	25.8 33.3 27.6 29.3 100.1 207.5 254.8 253.0 262.7 51.5 84.6	
Honeycomb Al matrix throughout core and as incidental reflector: $\overline{\rho}(Al) = 0.165 \text{ g/cm}^3$.									

aHydrogen content obtained from Plexiglas (C₅H₅O₂). bPseudo-cylinder.

TABLE II

Comparison of Adjusted Sphere Data and Computed Critical Volumes

Adjusted 1	Paramete	rs	Critical Sphere Volume (liters)		
$ ho(^{235}{ m U})~({ m g/cm}^3)$	H: ²³⁵ U	C: ²³⁵ U	Adjusted Value	Computed ^a	
2.3	6	3.75	26.0	26.5	
2.1	6	3.75	33.0	34.0	
1.3	12	7.5	29.0	28.8	
0.48	36	22.5	28.2	28.0	
0.925	6	24	99.9	106.3	
0.52	6	49	208.0	216.2	
0.25	12	98	2.67.1,265.3,274.7	272.7	
0.33	36	48	52.2	53.9	
0.23	36	98	81.7	80.9	

^aAluminum from assembly machine and oxygen from Plexiglas ($C_5H_8O_2$) included.

average difference between the compared critical volumes, about $2\frac{1}{2}\%$, is less than one-tenth the uncertainty that had been attached to computed values.

ical Assemblies," LAMS-2543, Los Alamos Scientific Lab. (1961).

1. G. E. HANSEN and W. H. ROACH, "Six and Sixteen-Group Cross Sections for Fast and Intermediate Crit W. R. STRATTON, "Critical Data Factors Affecting Criticality of Single Homogeneous Units," LA-3612, 7 (1967).